***1. Planning Proposal - ANEF Development***

|  |
| --- |
| **A Plan for Growing Sydney** |
| Objective  | Comment |
| *Direction 1.5 Enhance capacities at Sydney's gateways and freight networks.* | Action 1.5.1 supports productivity of networks by identifying buffers around freight networks, one of these networks is Sydney Airport and noise is an identified issue. It is stated that the Government will work with local councils to identify and reduce land use conflicts between growing residential areas and the freight transport network. In this instance it is the presence of ANEF 20+ development requirements within the *Leichhardt LEP 2013* which is inhibiting minor residential works for non-habitable spaces. This is restricting minor additions and alterations for non-habitable spaces in existing residential developments. Allowing Council officer's discretion in applying noise attenuation controls would reduce land use conflicts between Sydney airport and residential areas subject to ANEF 20+ development requirements.  |
| *Direction 2.3 Improve housing choice to suit different needs and lifestyles.* | Action 2.3.1 requires local housing strategies to plan for a range of housing types which includes considerations for incorporating changing needs of households throughout their lifecycles. As families grow there may be a need to extend a kitchen, storage space, add an extra bathroom or laundry facility, which currently need to have noise attenuation measures if the site is located in an ANEF 20+ contour even though these types of spaces are not lived in. Installing noise attenuation measures creates additional costs which may be excessive for property owners thereby inhibiting development which would otherwise meet the needs of the household. The planning proposal seeks to remove unnecessary noise attenuation measures for alterations and additions in residential areas located within an ANEF 20+ contour for non-habitable spaces, thereby enabling property owners to meet the needs of their households at different stages of their lifecycles. |

|  |
| --- |
| **Draft Inner West Subregional Strategy** |
| Action  | Comment |
|  A 2.3 Support magnet infrastructure  | It is considered that the Sydney airport is a type of magnet infrastructure. The planning proposal will enable residential property owners in ANEF 20+ contour to adapt to changing lifestyle needs in a more affordable way, without compromising their amenity in terms of noise issues caused by aircraft. Although the planning proposal does not directly relate to airport operations, the planning proposal mitigates minor economic impacts caused by airport operations in residential areas within the ANEF 20+ contour.  |
|  C1.3 Ensure adequate supply of land and sites for residential development | The aim of this direction is to ensure adequate housing growth occurs across the region. The aim of this proposal is to allow residential flexibility for minor alterations and additions in areas within ANEF 20+ contour so that the LGA housing stock responds to housing need.  |
| C5.1 Improve the design quality of new development | Alterations and additions in residential areas above the ANEF 20+ contour require noise insulation measures to gain consent, even for proposals for non-habitable spaces. These noise insulation requirements are unnecessary in such instances and incur considerable costs for development applicants which can inhibit the flexibility of households to cater for changing lifestyles and growing families. Council can improve residential design quality by removing the application of noise insulation requirements in appropriate instances. |
| G1.2 – Improve local planning and assessment | The planning proposal seeks to improve the development assessment process by enabling council officers discretion in the appropriate application of noise insulation requirements in residential areas above the ANEF 20+ contours. This will remove onerous and cost prohibitive requirements which restrict the ability of residential households to respond to changing lifecycle needs. |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| ***Leichhardt 2025+*** | ***Comment*** |
| Place where we live and work  |
| * Our town plan and place plans optimise the potential of our area through integrating the built and natural environment with a vision of how we want to live as a community and how areas should develop to meet future needs.
* A clear, consistent and equitable planning framework and process is provided that enables people to develop our area according to a shared vision for the community.
 | * The planning proposal will improve the ability of residential households in the ANEF 20+ contour area to respond to changing lifestyle needs by enabling a more appropriate mechanism of applying noise insulation requirements.
* The planning proposal will allow for council officers to impose appropriate noise insulation requirements according to the specifications of the proposed development, thereby enabling a more sensible and appropriate planning framework for the Leichhardt community.
 |
| *Sustainable Service and Assets* |  |
| * Transparent, consistent, efficient and effective participative processes are delivered.
 | * The planning proposal will facilitate the improvement of the local planning system by ensuring noise insulation measures are required according to the appropriateness of the proposed development.
 |

| **s.117 Direction Title** | **Applicable** | **Consistent** | **Comments**  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| 3.1 Residential Zones | Yes | Yes  | Consistent with the terms of this direction as it enables flexibility of households to respond to changing lifestyle needs without incurring unnecessary costs in relation to noise requirements for non-habitable spaces. This will encourage a variety and choice of housing types and make efficient use of existing infrastructure and services.  |
| 3.4 Integrating Land Use & Transport | Yes | Yes | Consistent with the terms of this direction as it will increase capacity for residential housing within the ANEF 20+ contour area to cater for changing lifestyle needs to improve the liveability of residents in the area. As residential areas in the ANEF 20+ contour are located in well serviced areas and access to walking, cycling and public transport facilities, the planning proposal is consistent with the objectives of this direction.  |
| 3.5 Development near licensed aerodromes | Yes | No  | The planning proposal is slightly inconsistent with this direction but the inconsistency is of minor significance as per 3.5(7)(d) and has already been accepted as a proposed amendment to the Marrickville LEP. The proposal is consistent with the objectives as the operation of the aerodrome is not impacted, and achieves an appropriate mechanism for implementing noise insulation measures in residential areas above the ANEF 20+ contour where appropriate.  |
| 6.1 Approval and Referral Requirements | Yes | Yes | The proposal serves to simplify the development assessment process by only requiring appropriate noise insulation measures where needed in residential developments within the ANEF 20+ contour.  |
| 7.1 Implementation of *A Plan for Growing Sydney* | Yes | Yes | It is consistent with the plan's objective of providing affordable and flexible residential housing types in appropriate locations.  |

***Q8. Is there any likelihood that critical habitat or threatened species, populations or ecological communities, or their habitats, will be adversely affected as a result of the proposal?***

The proposal will allow new playgrounds to be built without consent and enable restaurants or cafes to be permissible with consent in the RE1 zone. Proposals of both types will need to address *Leichhardt Council Environmental Sustainability Strategy 2010* and comply with the *Leichhardt Native Revegetation and Biodiversity Management Plan,* which has been incorporated into each Council park Plan of Management.

This proposal does not apply to land that has been identified as containing critical habitat or threatened species, populations or ecological communities, or their habitats. Should it be discovered through community consultation, or by another means, that species, populations, ecological communities or habitats may be adversely affected by individual development proposals, these factors would be taken into consideration and any development consent modified as necessary.

***Q9. Are there any other likely environmental effects as a result of the planning proposal and how are they proposed to be managed?***

Given the nature of the proposal (administrative changes to achieve consistency with the previous *Leichhardt Local Environmental Plan 2000*) it is not anticipated that there will be any adverse environmental effects.

***Q10. How has the planning proposal adequately addressed any social and economic effects?***

This planning proposal is of a minor scale and its social and economic effects will be minor and positive. They will also be assessed during community consultation for the planning proposal, again for relevant Plan of Management amendments, and finally through the notification of development applications for proposed restaurants or cafes.

The planning proposal will enable small scale business opportunities, enhance recreational areas, strengthen the sense of place, and improve amenity for residents and visitors.

***2. Planning Proposal - Changes to RE1 Public Recreation LUT***

|  |
| --- |
| **Metropolitan Plan - A Plan for Growing Sydney** |
| Objective  | Comment  |
| Direction 3.2: Create a network of interlinked, multipurpose open and green spaces across Sydney. Direction 3.3 Create healthy built environments.  | * Green spaces serve a multipurpose use for civic, social, cultural and environmental purposes to enhance natural ecology, liveability and healthy living of local communities. Recreational spaces attract people to the area and by enhancing passive surveillance a restaurant or café, or new playground (in appropriate locations) can enhance the amenity, liveability, local economy, and natural environmental of the local area and should be encouraged.
* The proposal will enable healthy built environments to include *restaurant and café* uses in RE1 Public Recreation zones promoting a diversity of recreational activities. Allowing *recreation area* as permissible without consent will promote the development of children's playgrounds and/or community sporting activities without the need to submit a development application. The proposal thereby promotes the delivery of healthy built environments by enabling and simplifying their implementation.
 |

|  |
| --- |
| **Draft Inner West Subregional Strategy** |
| Action  | Comment |
| F1 Provide access to quality parks and public places.  | The aim of the action is to enhance the capacity and quality of existing open space for use by LGA residents and visitors by enabling minor development in appropriate locations which increase the diversity of recreational uses in RE1 Public Recreation areas by increasing the amenity of the open spaces.  |
| F2 Provide a diverse mix of parks and public places.  | The purpose of this action is to provide councils with the opportunity to improve the quality of public space. The proposal would improve the diversity of recreation uses in appropriate locations and encourage activity in the area, increasing public surveillance and promoting a sense of community. The proposal will achieve the objective of this action to provide a diverse mix of parks and enhance local amenity.  |
| F4 Enhance culture life and tourism precincts.  | The development of *restaurants and cafes* in appropriate locations across Leichhardt can enhance access to public areas and promote parklands to create and enhance a sense of local identity. The proposal will also attract visitors to the area promoting regional awareness of Leichhardt's unique landscape and history.  |
| G1.2 – Improve local planning and assessment | The planning proposal seeks to improve the development assessment process by increasing the diversity of facilities on recreational land as well as simplifying the process for establishing community playgrounds and minor sporting activities.  |

| ***Leichhardt 2025+*** |
| --- |
| *1 Community Well-being*  | *Comment* |
| * People are connected to place.
 | * The proposal will allow a diversity of uses within recreational areas which will encourage multiple visits and time spent within these areas and act as a visitor generator.
 |
| *3 Place Where We live and Work*  |  |
| * Our town plan and place plans optimise the potential of our area through integrating the built and natural environment with a vision of how we want to live as a community and how areas should develop to meet future needs.
* Develop a clear, consistent and equitable planning framework and process that enables people to develop our area according to a shared vision for the community.
 | * The proposal will facilitate the growth and potential of recreational areas.
* The proposal will improve the clarity of the planning system and develop the shared vision of the community about the future direction of Leichhardt's recreational areas.
 |
| *4 A Sustainable Environment*  |  |
| * Develop our commitment & capacity to consistently support environmental sustainability.
* Protect, restore and enhance our natural environment and native biodiversity within our urban context.
 | * The proposal seeks to enhance and enliven recreational areas which support environmental sustainability by encouraging the public to walk or cycle to their local facilities rather than travel further afield.
* The proposal will allow the enhancement of recreational areas and leasing agreements may provide additional funding to enhance biodiversity.
 |
| *5 Business in the Community* |  |
| * Places are created that attract and connect people
 | * The diversification of permissible land uses will serve to attract people into the area and encourage a sense of community and attachment to place.
 |
| *6 Sustainable Services & Assets*  |  |
| * Apply our Values to deliver transparent, consistent, efficient and effective participative processes.
 | * Consistent with the Leichhardt 2025+ values and through the public consultation phases of the LEP Amendment, any subsequent Plan of Management amendments, and finally the development application process, the community will have ample opportunity to participate in the relevant decisions.
 |

| **s.117 Direction Title** | **Applicable** | **Consistent** | **Comments**  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| 2.3 Heritage Conservation  | Yes | Yes  | Some of Leichhardt's public parks have heritage significance with some heritage within these parks. This proposal does not have a specific impact on these aspects of heritage and any specific proposals that might have an impact on heritage would be considered in any amendment to the relevant Plan of Management and development assessment process.  |
| 3.4 Integrating Land Use & Transport | Yes | Yes | It is considered that there is no change to existing policy. The planning proposal will promote diversity within RE1 Public Recreational areas which are serviced by existing transport corridors.  |
| 3.5 Development near licensed aerodromes | Yes | Yes | Some areas in Leichhardt are subject to noise attenuation measures according its location within ANEF contours. Any proposed development would be subject to the appropriate noise mitigation measures as required by *Leichhardt LEP 2013*. It is considered that there is no change to existing policy. |
| 4.1 Acid Sulphate Soils  | Yes | Yes | Any development which is located upon acid sulphate soils must comply with relevant soil contamination and mitigation provisions, it is considered there is no change to existing policy.  |
| 4.3 Flood Prone Land | Yes  | Yes  | Any development must coincide with the relevant park Plan of Management which reflect Council's flood policies.  |
| 7.1 Implementation of the Metropolitan Strategy |  |  | It is consistent with the plan's objective of providing attractive and diverse public open space areas.  |

***Q8. Is there any likelihood that critical habitat or threatened species, populations or ecological communities, or their habitats, will be adversely affected as a result of the proposal?***

The proposal will allow new playgrounds to be built without consent and enable restaurants or cafes to be permissible with consent in the RE1 zone. Proposals of both types will need to address *Leichhardt Council Environmental Sustainability Strategy 2010* and comply with the *Leichhardt Native Revegetation and Biodiversity Management Plan,* which has been incorporated into each Council park Plan of Management.

This proposal does not apply to land that has been identified as containing critical habitat or threatened species, populations or ecological communities, or their habitats. Should it be discovered through community consultation, or by another means, that species, populations, ecological communities or habitats may be adversely affected by individual development proposals, these factors would be taken into consideration and any development consent modified as necessary.

***Q9. Are there any other likely environmental effects as a result of the planning proposal and how are they proposed to be managed?***

Given the nature of the proposal (administrative changes to achieve consistency with the previous *Leichhardt Local Environmental Plan 2000*) it is not anticipated that there will be any adverse environmental effects.Any restaurant and café proposal would be subject to a merit based development assessment and associated park Plan of Management amendments. Any adverse environmental impacts such as traffic, parking and amenity concerns would be addressed and mitigated through these processes. Recreation area land use developments are minor in nature and must comply with the relevant Plan of Management. Where changes are proposed the relevant Plan of Management must be amended and be the subject of public exhibition and assessment process. Any environmental effects will be minor and mitigated through the respective Council assessment processes after community consultation.

***Q10. How has the planning proposal adequately addressed any social and economic effects?***

This planning proposal is of a minor scale and its social and economic effects will be minor and positive. They will also be assessed during community consultation for the planning proposal, again for relevant Plan of Management amendments, and finally through the notification of development applications for proposed restaurants or cafes.

The planning proposal will enable small scale business opportunities, enhance recreational areas, strengthen the sense of place, and improve amenity for residents and visitors.

***3. Planning Proposal - Additional Permitted Uses at 44-46 Smith Street, Rozelle.***

|  |
| --- |
| **A Plan for Growing Sydney** |
| Objective  | Comment |
| Direction 3.4: Promote Sydney's heritage, arts and culture | As the subject site is identified as a Local Heritage Item, any proposed development for adaptive re-use would need to enhance the quality of the heritage significant aspects of the site.  |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Draft Inner West Subregional Strategy** |  |
| Action  | Comment |
| A3.3 Encourage emerging businesses  | The subject site has historically been used for community services. If the use of the site as an educational establishment should cease, the proposed additional uses could facilitate alternative community services developed by emerging businesses.  |
| E6.2 Recognise where Sydney's cultural heritage contributes to its character and manage change appropriately to reinforce local distinctiveness.  | The purpose of this amendment is to conserve the heritage value of a privately owned civic building now and into the future by allowing appropriate additional uses to occur subject to development consent.  |
| E6.3 Interpret and promote Sydney's cultural heritage | The heritage listing of the subject site signifies its cultural and architectural importance in the local history of Rozelle. Any future use of the property would have to respect the heritage significance of the building.  |
| G1.2 – Improve local planning and assessment | It is consistent with the plan's objective of facilitating the appropriate use of a heritage listed site which maintains its civic land use.  |

|  |
| --- |
| ***Leichhardt 2025+*** |
| Community Wellbeing  | Comment |
| * People are connected to each other.
* Our impacts on the natural environment and heritage are minimised.
 | * The proposed additional uses would encourage maintenance of civic functions on this site.
* Any reuse would aim to enhance heritage significance and the natural environment.
 |
| *Sustainable Service and Assets* |  |
| * Transparent, consistent, efficient and effective participative processes are delivered.
 | * The proposal has been formulated in liaison with the property owner and Council, the community will be consulted as part of the public exhibition phase and any subsequent future development applications will also be subject to further consultation. In addition, all the proposed permissible uses were previously permissible up to February 2013 under the *Leichhardt LEP 2000*.
 |

| **s.117 Direction Title** | **Applicable** | **Consistent** | **Comments**  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| 2.3 Heritage Conservation  | Yes | Yes  | Inclusion of the uses in the proposed Schedule 1 Clause 8 are consistent with the terms of this direction.  |
| 3.4 Integrating Land Use & Transport | Yes | Yes | It is considered that there is no change to existing policy. The planning proposal would allow civic land use diversity in an area well serviced by existing transport corridors. |
| 3.5 Development near licensed aerodromes | Yes | Yes | Some areas in Leichhardt are subject to noise attenuation measures according its location within ANEF contours. Any proposed use would be subject to the relevant noise mitigation measures as required by the *Leichhardt LEP 2013*. It is considered that there is no change to existing policy. |
| 6.1 Approval and Referral Requirements | Yes | Yes | The proposal serves to allow appropriate civic land uses should the current use cease in the future. This will enhance the strategic planning of Leichhardt in a way that is clear and concise. The proposal is consistent with the terms of this direction.  |
| 7.1 Implementation of *A Plan for Growing Sydney* | Yes | Yes | It is consistent with the plan's objective of allowing appropriate uses on this site.  |

***Q8. Is there any likelihood that critical habitat or threatened species, populations or ecological communities, or their habitats, will be adversely affected as a result of the proposal?***

No, the proposal will not have any adverse impacts on critical habitat or threatened species, populations or ecological communities, or their habitats. The proposal may lead to future development applications which will need to address any adverse environmental impacts however given the site is an existing building of heritage significance it is unlikely any biodiversity impacts will occur.

***Q9. Are there any other likely environmental effects as a result of the planning proposal and how are they proposed to be managed?***

If the current school occupant of the site was to relocate some of the proposed permissible with consent uses may have environmental effects that are different to those created by the school. These effects would be managed through the development assessment process.

***Q10. How has the planning proposal adequately addressed any social and economic effects?***

The planning proposal reinstates uses that were permissible with consent on the site until publication of the *Leichhardt Local Environmental Plan 2013* in 2014. If the current school occupant of the site was to relocate some of the proposed permissible with consent uses may have social and economic effects that are different to those created by the school. These effects would be managed through the development assessment process.

**4. Planning Proposal - Balmain Hospital Main Building**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Metropolitan Plan - A Plan for Growing Sydney** |  |
| Objective  | Comment |
| Direction 3.4: Promote Sydney's heritage, arts and culture.  | * The correction mapping identification of the State Heritage Listed Item is consistent with the purpose of this objective.
 |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Inner West Draft Subregional Strategy** |  |
| Action  | Comment |
| E6 Conserve Sydney's cultural heritage  | * The proposal will enhance awareness and knowledge of the State Heritage Significant Item.
 |
| G1.2 – Improve local planning and assessment | * It is consistent with the plan's objective of facilitating the appropriate identification of a State Heritage listed item.
 |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| ***Leichhardt 2025+*** |  |
| *Community well being* | *Comment* |
| * People are connected to place
 | * The proposal seeks to enhance awareness by correctly identifying the location of the State Heritage Listed Item.
 |
| Place where we live and work  |  |
| * Our town plan and place plans optimise the potential of our area through integrating the built and natural environment with a vision of how we want to live as a community and how areas should develop to meet future needs.
* A clear, consistent and equitable planning framework and process is provided that enables people to develop our area according to a shared vision for the community.
 | * The proposal seeks to enhance public awareness of local and state history in the Leichhardt LGA.
* The proposal seeks to protect cultural history as a priority for Leichhardt Council.
 |

| **s.117 Direction Title** | **Applicable** | **Consistent** | **Comments**  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| 2.3 Heritage Conservation  | Yes | Yes  | The correct identification of the State Heritage Item serves to protect and conserve the cultural significance and is consistent with the terms of this direction.  |
| 6.1 Approval and Referral Requirements | Yes | Yes | The proposal serves to provide appropriate mapping identification of the State Heritage listed Item in a way that is clear and concise within the Leichhardt LEP 2013 Heritage Map. The proposal is consistent with the terms of this direction. |
| 7.1 Implementation of *A Plan for Growing Sydney* | Yes | Yes | It is consistent with the plan's objective of heritage conservation and local history awareness.  |

***Q8. Is there any likelihood that critical habitat or threatened species, populations or ecological communities, or their habitats, will be adversely affected as a result of the proposal?***

No, the proposal will not have any adverse impacts on critical habitat or threatened species, populations or ecological communities, or their habitats. The proposal will simply correctly identify the correct location of the State Heritage Item within the Leichhardt LEP 2013 Heritage Map.

***Q9. Are there any other likely environmental effects as a result of the planning proposal and how are they proposed to be managed?***

No adverse environmental effects are anticipated as the planning proposal only serves to correct a mapping error.

***Q10. How has the planning proposal adequately addressed any social and economic effects?***

The planning proposal will not have any social or economic effects beyond those that currently exist. The State Heritage Item is listed within the State Heritage Register as well as within the Leichhardt LEP 2013 however its correct identification of the LEP Heritage Map may aid community awareness of its heritage significance.

**5. Planning Proposal - Rezonings**

|  |
| --- |
| **A Plan for Growing Sydney** |
| Objective  | Comment |
| Direction 3.2 Create a network of interlinked, multipurpose open and green spaces.  | * The proposal seeks to confirm existing recreational open space in the correct LEP zone to improve access, amenity, liveability and environmental resilience of Leichhardt.
 |
| Direction 4.1 Protect our natural environment and biodiversity | * The proposal will protect the biodiversity that occurs on the subject sites as recreation areas.
 |
| Direction 4.3 Manage the impacts of development on the environment | * The proposal seeks to protect existing recreational uses as well as protect biodiversity and access on the subject sites. Although minor in impact, will help this maintain to the green infrastructure of inner Sydney and help air quality. Additionally, the rezoning will remove residential housing as permissible development which removes the environmental implications associated which such development. The proposal is consistent with the terms of this objectives.
 |

|  |
| --- |
| **Draft Inner West Subregional Strategy** |
| Action  | Comment |
| E2.2 – Protect Sydney’s unique diversity of plants and animals  | * As part of Council's ongoing enhancement and maintenance programmes of public recreation areas, Council aims to enhance and rehabilitate local biodiversity and the identification of these subject sites as green spaces will aid the process.
 |
| E2.3 - Improve Sydney's air quality | * Although the impact is minor the protection of green spaces in inner Sydney adds to the 'green infrastructure' capable of reducing carbon emissions into the air.
 |
| F1.3 – Improve access to waterways and links between bushland, parks and centres | * The proposal seeks to add to the existing supply of recognised recreational public space to improve access and biodiversity.
 |
| F2 – Provide for a diverse mix of parks and public places | * The proposal recognises the subject sites as recreational areas to improve the quality and accessibility of all local space.
 |
| G1.2 – Improve local planning and assessment | * It is consistent with the plan's objective of increasing recreational areas within the urban context.
 |

|  |
| --- |
| ***Leichhardt 2025+*** |
| *Community well being* | *Comment* |
| * People are connected to each another
* People are connected to place
* Health and Wellbeing are promoted
 | * The proposal seeks to provide recreational areas for the community to used
* The proposal will encourage an attachment to place
* Recreational areas promote healthy living and improve amenity.
 |
| *Accessibility*  |  |
| * Environmental conditions are improved.
 | * The proposal seeks to protect the recreational uses as well as improve biodiversity and access on the subject sites by recognising the site as public recreation.
 |
| Place where we live and work  |  |
| * Our town plan and place plans optimise the potential of our area through integrating the built and natural environment with a vision of how we want to live as a community and how areas should develop to meet future needs.
* A clear, consistent and equitable planning framework and process is provided that enables people to develop our area according to a shared vision for the community.
 | * The proposal seeks to protect public recreational for the local community. In this way the proposal achieves civic purposes for social interaction and recreation to encourage attachment to place, but also encourages an integration of the built environment with the natural environment.
* The proposal seeks to protect recreational areas for civic purposes as well as protecting and enhancing the natural environment. This proposal is the most appropriate statutory response to achieve this goal.
 |
| *A Sustainable Environment*  |  |
| * Our commitment capacity to consistently support environmental sustainability is developed.
 | * The proposal facilitates the recognition of the subject sites as recreational areas and adds to the green open space infrastructure available in Leichhardt. In this way the proposal is consistent with the terms of this objective.
 |
| *Business in the Community*  |  |
| * Places are created that attract and connect people.
 | * Recreational areas provide opportunities for social interactions and place attachment to occur as well as adding to the general amenity and liveability of the area. It may serve to attract people to the area and encourage local business initiatives.
 |
| *Sustainable Service and Assets* |  |
| * Requirements and clear standards for infrastructure and services which meet the needs of local communities are provided and maintained.
* Transparent, consistent, efficient and effective participative processes are delivered.
 | * The proposal facilitates this objective by protecting recreational areas to improve the liveability and green urban network for local communities. The maintenance of the site will be carried out by Council and enhancement programmes implemented where possible to improve Leichhardt's green urban network.
* The proposal will be subject to the public consultation and exhibition requirements under the *Environmental Planning & Assessment Act 1979* as well as Council's Community Engagement Strategy to achieve the objectives of this direction.
 |

| **s.117 Direction Title** | **Applicable** | **Consistent** | **Comments**  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| 2.3 Heritage Conservation  | Yes | Yes  | The proposal seeks to identify the environmental heritage significance on the subject site at Leichhardt Park. The proposed correction serves to protect and conserve the cultural significance of the site and is consistent with the terms of this direction.  |
| 3.1 Residential Zones | Yes | Yes | The planning proposal is slightly inconsistent as it proposes to rezone residential land, however neither site has been used for residential uses and their R1 zoning was an error. The inconsistency is of minor significance as per 3.1(6)(d).  |
| 3.4 Integrating Land Use & Transport  | Yes | Yes | The planning proposal will not impact on transport infrastructure above the existing situation given that both are currently used as recreational areas.  |
| 3.5 Development near licensed aerodromes | Yes | Yes | Some areas in Leichhardt are subject to noise attenuation measures according to their location within ANEF contours. Any proposed development will be subject to appropriate noise mitigation measures as required by the *Leichhardt LEP 2013*. It is considered that there is no change to existing policy. |
| 4.1 Acid Sulphate Soils | Yes | Yes | Acid sulphate soils are identified on parts of the subject areas, any proposed development that occurs as a result of this planning proposal will be subject to the relevant acid sulphate soils mitigation or remediation measures and is therefore consistent with the terms of this direction.  |
| 4.3 Flood Prone Land | Yes | Yes | Some areas in Leichhardt are subject to flood measures according its location. Any proposed development will be subject to the relevant flood mitigation measures as is required by Council. It is considered that there is no change to existing policy. |
| 6.1 Approval and Referral Requirements | Yes | Yes | The proposal serves to provide appropriate mapping identification of RE1 Public Recreation in a way that is clear and concise within the Leichhardt LEP 2013. The proposal is consistent with the terms of this direction. |
| 7.1 Implementation of *A Plan for Growing Sydney* | Yes | Yes | It is consistent with the plan's objective of increasing and enhancing public open space opportunities in inner city locations.  |

***Q8. Is there any likelihood that critical habitat or threatened species, populations or ecological communities, or their habitats, will be adversely affected as a result of the proposal?***

No, the proposal will not have any adverse impacts as there are no critical habitat or threatened species, populations or ecological communities, or their habitats, on the subject sites.

***Q9. Are there any other likely environmental effects as a result of the planning proposal and how are they proposed to be managed?***

No adverse environmental effects are anticipated as each site is currently maintained as a public recreational area. The proposal seeks to protect the natural environment located on the sites in a way that enhances and promotes recreational activities.

***Q10. How has the planning proposal adequately addressed any social and economic effects?***

The 727m2 of open space at 77 Taylor Street, Annandale forms part of Hogan Park.

Council has been maintaining the land as public open space for many years. It is directly adjacent to a recently refurbished playground and had been previously flagged by Council as a possible site for a community garden. The area of parkland also provides a buffer of open space between the recently refurbished children’s playground and the existing residential properties to the south of the site.

The Annandale community values this area of open space and has assumed that the area was part of Hogan Park. Annandale has been identified as having the greatest deficit in open space provision in the LGA. By the end of 2016 the nearby Harold Park development will bring at least an additional 2500 people to the neighbourhood, placing even more pressure on existing public open space. It is important that this land is zoned RE1 Public Recreation to ensure that it remains open space in perpetuity.

Similarly, Leichhardt Park is owned by Crown Lands NSW and is an important public space corridor along a substantial section of the Sydney Harbour Foreshore Area. The community land should be protected under the Leichhardt LEP 2013 as recreational public land.